AS EFFORTS TO STIMULATE INNOVATION spring up across campuses, institutions need a comprehensive framework for the integrated planning of initiatives that support innovation. We view a campus as an Innovation Landscape, in which many types of innovation are encouraged and settings for collaborative creative activity—both physical and virtual—infuse the campus fabric and are part of the daily experience of its users. We propose the Innovation Landscape Framework as a tool that can help coordinate physical planning with organizational initiatives, engage a wide range of stakeholders, and enable a more widespread culture of innovation.

WHAT IS AN INNOVATION LANDSCAPE?

Just as the Learning Landscape planning approach developed 15 years ago acknowledged that “learning happens...
anywhere,” the Innovation Landscape approach seeks to create an environment where innovation can be supported, socialized, built, and tested anywhere and everywhere. An Innovation Landscape perspective can become integral to the campus culture and clearly articulated by all the systems that support it. Everyone can be incentivized to contribute to a culture of innovation and encouraged by institutional policies to continue to develop and support it.

**The Innovation Landscape approach seeks to create an environment where innovation can be supported, socialized, built, and tested anywhere and everywhere.**

**WHAT DOES THE INNOVATION LANDSCAPE FRAMEWORK DO?**

- **It structures a process that explores opportunities to foster innovation and then suggests coordinated approaches to maximize planning impact.** It is a tool that can be adapted and developed to suit many types of institutional and planning situations.

- **It visualizes a holistic system, built in the tradition of a service blueprint.** It is co-created by its users, and it makes intangible efforts visible. It allows the visualization of interrelated activities and produces evidence in the form of inventive ideas, physical artifacts, or other products of innovative activity.

- **It supports integrated planning initiatives.** It can be inclusive and generate detailed strategies for implementation while simultaneously allowing for a comprehensive view at a high level so participants can understand the integrated vision. This can reveal nuanced relational opportunities between efforts that might appear unrelated and highlight the importance of communication between initiatives.

**HOW IS THE FRAMEWORK USED?**

The Innovation Landscape Framework is designed to promote better understanding of the rich diversity of ongoing and future efforts that support innovation across an institution. It was developed for use by institutional leadership and academic departments as a tool for productive discussion. Often, efforts on the part of individual units are not visible to others.

**The Innovation Landscape Framework is designed to promote better understanding of the rich diversity of ongoing and future efforts that support innovation across an institution.**

The framework can be used in many ways, such as a

- Strategic planning tool
- Visioning retreat workshop tool involving stakeholders
- Guide for ongoing task force deliberations
- Vehicle for campus community input
- Strategic road map for staff and administrators

The integrated nature of the tool can create opportunities for participants in the process to articulate and see their efforts in the context of a common initiative. The framework also provides a vehicle for visualizing and summarizing a collective action plan with broad reach that can help guide planners.

The framework is both a generative and an evaluative tool. At the beginning of the planning process it can be used to map and describe current innovation-related activities and develop concepts for new ones. It can help identify and establish consensus on goals and targeted initiatives. Institutions that are starting to build an innovation culture can use the framework to evaluate their degree of maturity under each of the planning principles. It can provide the structure needed to generate integrated approaches and concepts that multiple stakeholder groups can align around, both at the beginning of a planning process and while it is ongoing. Once the process...
has begun, the framework can be used to assess how efforts in each of its cells are or are not being supported and become a “north star” document to keep participants up to date with progress.

WHAT ARE THE ELEMENTS THAT MAKE UP THE INNOVATION LANDSCAPE FRAMEWORK?

The framework makes visible the dynamics between five basic innovation planning principles by seeing them through three planning “lenses” (figure 1). The planning principles that help foster innovation are intentionally broad so that they can be interpreted to apply to many types of campuses or initiatives:

1. Connections
2. Convergence
3. Ecosystems
4. Catalysts
5. Process

Each of these principles is then viewed through the perspective of three planning lenses to generate possible planning strategies to enhance innovation:

» Physical infrastructures: The campus network of activity settings, nodes, hubs, buildings, temporary structures, and exterior spaces.

» Incentives and policies: Institutional incentive systems, policies, protocols, and initiatives that encourage experimentation, risk-taking, and vision-seeking—whether for advancing pedagogy, encouraging new directions in digital scholarship, or finding a new cure or invention to leverage.
Knowledge and systems: The resources and information systems that support knowledge sharing and academic excellence, such as library and IT systems, institutional repositories, research data management, or virtual learning communities development.

**HOW IS THE FRAMEWORK MATRIX DEVELOPED?**

The process of exploring each planning principle through each lens results in a matrix that can be used to develop and articulate integrated planning strategies that can enhance innovation and collectively foster a culture of innovation. To illustrate some potential actions, each of the principles is described below with some example strategies or ways it might be applied as seen through each of the lenses.

**PLANNING PRINCIPLE 1: CONNECTIONS**

Encourage the interaction of people, resources, and information within and between colleges, schools, programs, and units.

**Physical infrastructures lens examples:**

- Rethink adjacencies between units and programmatic mixes to foster new research and working relationships
- Invest in informal meeting places to enable people to connect more easily for serendipitous dialogue and provide a wide variety of reservable collaborative and knowledge-sharing venues
- Develop circulation paths that enhance interaction, both internally and externally

**Incentives and policies lens examples:**

- Incentivize interaction between colleges to connect potential collaborators
- Develop campus space availability/booking apps to enable groups to find places to meet more easily

**Knowledge and systems lens examples:**

- Develop systems to help researchers and entrepreneurs find others working in their field of inquiry
- Enhance interactive displays around campus

**PLANNING PRINCIPLE 2: CONVERGENCE**

Foster a culture of innovation and convergence strategies that encourage transdisciplinary discovery and erode silos institution-wide.

**Physical infrastructures lens examples:**

- Create places for making and prototyping that serve all sectors of campus
- Celebrate discovery and innovation; make them more visible in the environment
- Create sufficient shared places for diverse groups to converge, such as bookable meeting rooms

**Incentives and policies lens examples:**

- Incentivize research across domain areas and teaching with multidisciplinary problems and teams
- Focus institutional priorities on addressing complex global challenges
- Allocate budgetary resources and recruitment to promote interdisciplinary strengths
- Incentivize course redesign, active learning, and interdisciplinary teaching
- Reward academic innovation, especially outside of traditional tenure-track scholarship
- Leverage and support intellectual property development
Knowledge and systems lens examples:

» Enhance systems for sharing research, data, and scholarship

» Develop the data analysis skills of learners at all levels across campus

» Support emerging communities of practice

PLANNING PRINCIPLE 3: ECOSYSTEMS

Cultivate entrepreneurial ecosystems with industry, other institutions, alumni, local communities, and global academic communities.

Physical infrastructures lens examples:

» Create facilities to collaborate with industry partners and alumni on new ventures

» Develop an area adjacent to campus as a vibrant mixed-use innovation district

» Link on-campus work to global experiences and leverage a network of global collaborators

Incentives and policies lens examples:

» Develop support systems and services that enable students and faculty to pursue their entrepreneurial aspirations

» Promote entrepreneurship in service of the institutional mission and strategic plan

Knowledge and systems lens examples:

» Foster awareness of the entrepreneurial ecosystem, making visible potential opportunities, services, and resources

» Develop expertise around intellectual capital and scholarly communications in a rapidly changing environment

» Support development of data science

PLANNING PRINCIPLE 4: CATALYSTS

Create experiences that are catalysts for creativity and make evident the institution’s innovative spirit.

Catalytic experiences are active experiences that help build community, are meant to be transitory, and allow risk-taking. They occur in the midst of our everyday life and provide opportunities for us to experience something new and gain insights that enrich our perspectives.

Physical infrastructures lens examples:

» Develop venues for programming that bring people together to share their work and provide exposure to funders or industry collaborators

» Recognize the value of user-experience (UX) design in many applications

» Provide support systems to facilitate pop-up events

» Layer on augmented reality information to enrich the experience of campus space and learning

» Make visible and showcase creative, entrepreneurial activities to passersby so they can learn as they move through campus

Incentives and policies lens examples:

» Provide budgets and incentives that bring people together for “discovery experiences”

» Sponsor opportunities for hackathons and events that connect teams with common interests

» Promote experiential learning
» Incentivize the student experience with new research directions

Knowledge and systems lens examples:

» Celebrate and share capstone projects, student research, and student ventures
» Enable data-rich visualizations and interactive display systems to enrich experiences
» Capture and archive moments for asynchronous experience

PLANNING PRINCIPLE 5: PROCESS

Foster and celebrate innovative practices and learning at all levels of the organization by encouraging experimentation, design thinking, and prototyping, physically and virtually.

Physical infrastructures lens examples:

» Make prototyping an integral part of the space planning process and learn at a small scale before making larger investments
» Make users aware of “beta” spaces and their design thinking-friendly affordances
» Encourage pedagogical experimentation in flexible studio spaces

Incentives and policies lens examples:

» Cultivate a cycle of testing, assessment, and evidence-based planning at all levels
» Dedicate budget allocation to prototyping and engage stakeholder input in all stages of planning
» Provide support systems, both operational and consultative
» Value organizational learning

Knowledge and systems lens examples:

» Capture data and analyze findings on the effectiveness of prototypes and space uses
» Develop more robust collaborative software capabilities
» Develop IT systems that can respond to change and experimental practices

HOW CAN THE FRAMEWORK BE IMPLEMENTED?

Sharing a north star among a diverse set of efforts is essential for integrated planning to be successful over time. Using the Innovation Landscape Framework as a generative tool in a design-thinking process has the potential to increase the output of ideas, proposed efforts, and initiatives to be discussed, prioritized, and integrated into planning efforts across multiple campus systems. It can also create confidence in the comprehensiveness of the planning process. Concepts could range from tactical “quick wins” to long-term projects that require multiyear capital investments.

Once individual projects or initiatives are prioritized for action, they need to be carefully defined so their implementation can be visualized as a series of discrete steps in a process. Each of the actions or targets can be planned individually with unique sets of leaders and stakeholders (such as task forces or design projects) while integrated within the larger university-wide effort. The steps in the newly defined process need to be clearly articulated so that physical artifacts or systemic changes are developed in such a way that stakeholders can align around them.

Artifacts that demonstrate innovation initiatives might take physical form as prototype spaces, pop-up experiences, or exhibits. They can be thought of as prototypes that instigate and provoke discussion, with the ultimate goal of creating consensus and allowing the implementation of the project to move forward. Sometimes the object of focus might create a
new understanding of a project that will require the project to be redefined. The intent is to keep stakeholders engaged in the process so that each project is resolved in a manner that benefits the health of the larger integrated planning effort.

**HOW ARE THE PHYSICAL COMPONENTS OF THE INNOVATION LANDSCAPE DEFINED?**

Planning the physical infrastructure of the Innovation Landscape is important to provide an intentional and distributed network of activity settings and opportunity spaces for people to collaborate, make, invent, and share. Many components of campus planning can be integrated to support innovation initiatives more effectively. To dig deeper into the framework, here we describe aspects of the physical infrastructures lens in more detail, addressing issues of typology, mapping, and layering.

The Innovation Landscape is made up of a hierarchy of types of hubs or nodes. A typology of component types can be developed and adapted for large or small institutions to analyze a campus’s existing facilities, envision a distribution of facilities that have the potential to support or engage, and define an enhanced network that reflects the institution’s future aspirations. The kinds of questions that need to be addressed include the following: What are the components of the system? How should they be distributed? What are the communities they serve? What activities will happen in them? How will they be managed?

**PHYSICAL COMPONENTS: DEFINING HUB IDENTITY**

To plan the Innovation Landscape each hub or node will be distinguished through a range of dimensions. Figure 2 outlines the dimensions that define different identities for hubs and gives examples of characteristics. This process of identity definition can help lay the conceptual groundwork for later space programming or intentional expansion of new
**Figure 2 Dimensions of Identity for Innovation Hubs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OWNERSHIP</th>
<th>FUNCTIONS</th>
<th>CHARACTER</th>
<th>USER GROUPS</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Community served  
• Leadership (central admin, college, program)  
• Residents (shared, partnered, owned)  
• Operational support (staffing, management)  
• Budget (shared, independent) | • Collaborating/meeting (physical and virtual)  
• Working or conducting research (assigned or unassigned space)  
• Making/prototyping (high tech or low tech)  
• Learning/sharing  
• Hosting/events (scheduled or impromptu)  
• Production capabilities (e.g. level of complexity of equipment provided) | • Spatial character – look & feel  
• Theme based (cross sector)  
• Entrepreneurship focus  
• Engineering focus  
• Technology focus  
• STEM research focus  
• Educational innovation focus  
• Digital scholarship focus  
• Societal good focus | • Primary user groups for whom the hub is designed (e.g. makerspace for engineering students)  
• Secondary user groups (e.g. faculty, students from other domain areas)  
• Interest groups and constituents (e.g. industry reps, alumni, etc.)  
• Off-campus participants | • Centralized/ Neighborhood/ Distributed patterns  
• On/Off Campus  
• Peripheral to Embedded spectrum  
• Opportunity areas  
• Adjacency relationships |

**PHYSICAL COMPONENTS: DESIGNING A PALETTE OF FACILITY TYPES**

A palette can be developed that responds to the unique situation of each campus, the opportunities available, and the institution’s strategic goals. Today the creation of makerspaces is in its early stages but as demand matures, maker activities and a culture of prototyping are likely to become distributed across many campus facilities, each hub developing its own culture as users collaborate. It is important to recognize that spaces for collaborative making and entrepreneurship can be integrated into many types of facilities—and that attention to fostering a community of engaged users will be as important as providing space and equipment. An Innovation Landscape palette outlines a hierarchy of hub types that can be used to plan an integrated network. These might include some of the following models:

» **An innovation center** is the most prominent and centralized type, branded as the primary symbolic center of innovation activity as well as a showcase. Examples include an entrepreneurial co-working center bridging commercial and academic populations, a “garage” incubator of inventive activity and prototyping, a center for technology transfer, or a major research institute.

» **District hubs** serve a similar function but are associated with a neighborhood or domain area, such as a makerspace for an engineering complex, a device prototyping lab in a health sciences sector, or a digital arts lab in an arts district, and may support coursework or capstone projects.

» **Makerspaces** encourage the ethos of making and tinkering in a broad range of facility types, from facilities for 3-D printing and metal and wood fabrication to electronic tinkering in Fab Labs or digital
making in media labs—most combine multiple types of hands-on activity. Learning from peers and mentors helps students develop confidence and skills as they pursue individual and team projects that may be outside of course-related activities. Increasingly makerspaces are being introduced in libraries where they are accessible to all students.

» Academic incubators promote innovation in academic affairs, such as teaching and learning centers supporting new directions in pedagogy or digital scholarship centers encouraging experimentation with applications of multimedia, geospatial systems, or textual analysis.

» Consultation hubs provide valuable services and expertise to help learners and aspiring innovators in many fields, e.g., offering consultation on intellectual property issues, on funding resources for those focused on leveraging inventions or discoveries, or on new directions in digital scholarship or data science.

» Community nodes host local clusters of activity such as specialized learning communities, often self-organized, or student clubs.

» Blended, mixed-use facilities are building types in which making and innovation energy infuse the entire facility (such as at the University of Utah’s Lassonde Studios, positioned as “a residential community of entrepreneurs, innovators and creators”3).

» Corridors, both interior and exterior, can become organizing elements, e.g., displaying a history of institutional innovation or tying together a sequence of places with a common theme of discovery (as celebrated by the University of Minnesota’s Scholars Walk/Wall of Discovery, which honors intellectual achievements as it connects many buildings4).

The more hubs can be made accessible to anyone on campus to use regardless of discipline (with appropriate training, of course), the richer their potential and the easier it will be to break down barriers to interdisciplinary teaming over time. Libraries are particularly well positioned to offer makerspaces and consultation hubs: they are often centrally located, operate late hours open to all, and are user-oriented in their services.

PHYSICAL COMPONENTS: MAPPING THE INNOVATION ECOSYSTEM

Many different types of innovation-related activities occur on a campus. As part of a complex ecosystem, they can occur in many types of places. By mapping the locations of the hubs that exist, distribution patterns and any underserved gaps can become clearer. Generic categories for mapping can apply to academic institutions regardless of size.

Mapping can make visible not only the ecosystem of facilities for making with physical and/or digital resources but also the network of incubator spaces and service points for consulting with experts—which can be important catalysts for cultivating innovation. For example, libraries offer consultation services on research, data management, patent searches, and new applications for academic technologies as well as incubators for digital humanities, data science, and collaborative workspaces—features that will become increasingly important to support an evolving innovation ecosystem.

It is desirable to conceive of different types of maker environments accessible to all so everyone on campus can be supported in their creative endeavors. These can be studios or makerspaces for creating or prototyping—which now offer a wealth of 3-D printing/scanning, laser cutting, electronics, sewing, and other fabrication tools—but also might be facilities equipped for digital making, such as media labs for production with digital editing and image resources that are part of emerging digital scholarship.

Providing tailored support services as well as space and equipment is important for success. Space programming needs to consider:

» The makerspace’s potential role as an invigorating social hub for a community of learners and/or entrepreneurs, reflecting their culture, values, and aspirations;

» The creative processes that will happen there;

» The kinds of consultation and technology services that should be available;

» Desirable types of settings for collaboration and peer learning;

» The types of output users want; and

» The equipment complexity, for both makers and managers.

At a campus level different types of places for making can be identified and mapped based on a framework with two dimensions: (1) physical to digital and (2) low support/low complexity (of equipment and operation) to high support/high complexity. Figure 3 illustrates how this framework can be used to map different types of facilities and identify underserved areas.

![Figure 3: Mapping Diversity in Facilities for Making](image-url)
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Layering information onto our perception of the landscape will allow the environment to be even more stimulating, rich with information, and open to discovery and interaction than ever before. Readily available mobile technologies can be used to create a fully interactive environment that exists at the overlap of the physical and digital worlds.

Augmented reality promises to enhance discovery as we experience the physical environment by blending our physical and digital realms. Today augmented reality applications are already allowing us to interact with physical spaces through mobile or wearable devices and the geotagging of physical spaces with information content.

Layers of the Innovation Landscape

**Physical Environments**
- Entrepreneurship hubs
- Innovation labs
- Makerspaces
- Research labs
- Academic incubators
- Co-working hubs
- Consultation centers
- Pop-up structures
- Cafes & exterior spaces

**Virtual Environments**
- Collaborative software systems
- Research team portals
- Institutional/dept. websites
- LMS/curricular environment
- Student websites
- Library services & resources
- Institutional repositories
- Social media /blogs
- Virtual community places

**Blended Innovation Landscape**
- Layering information onto the physical environment to enrich our experience of it

**Figure 4** A blended Innovation Landscape layers virtual information into the physical environment.
HOW CAN USER EXPERIENCE DESIGN INFORM INTEGRATED PLANNING FOR THE INNOVATION LANDSCAPE?

To create an effective user experience strategy for an Innovation Landscape, we propose that three interrelated aspects must be explored and woven together through integrated planning: tangible evidence, experience programming, and digital resonance (figure 5).

Figure 5 Creating engaging user experiences is core to a dynamic Innovation Landscape.

Digital Resonance
A Dynamic Mesh
The scientific, academic, entrepreneurial, social and creative activities of the Innovation Landscape contribute to a dynamic mesh of virtual user interaction. As larger movements coalesce they can be manifested in the physical as well as digital environments, using augmented visualizations to alert users of emerging trends and activities.

Experience Programming
Engagement & Immersion
Activities which are programmed, organized and curated across the Innovation Landscape can attract diverse participants to discover common interests, share experiences and stimulate critical discussion and new insights.

Tangible Evidence
Physical Artifacts & Places
Innovation initiatives need to be made a visible part of life on the campus and the Innovation Landscape should be organized so these expressions or centers of activity are linked along primary and secondary paths of daily experience.

SHIRLEY DUGDALE & BRIAN STRAWN 2017 (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0)
» **Tangible evidence:** This is the complex of physical places, facilities, objects, and artifacts of activity that users observe and experience as they move through a campus that provides visible evidence of creative energy. The relationships between these physical places and the circulation connectors among them can be planned to expose passersby to different types of collaborative activity and display pride in innovative accomplishments.

» **Experience programming:** This involves programming for events or immersion in activities that are organized and curated across the Innovation Landscape. Programmed events and collaborative gatherings like hackathons can attract diverse participants to discover common interests, share experiences, and stimulate critical discussion and new ideas. The Innovation Landscape can be conceived as the public arena for communicating innovation efforts being cultivated by all colleges/schools/units on campus and launching pilots, interdisciplinary projects, and entrepreneurial ventures. (Communication and visibility are key: a project that is being developed between engineering and architecture might be of great interest to a social scientist on campus, but only if the social scientist knows the effort is occurring.) The Innovation Landscape offers shared experiences and opportunities to socialize innovation initiatives among the wider community. Events become a catalyst bringing people together and stimulating dialogue and interaction among learners, colleagues, and visitors—although transitory experiences, they are powerful for developing new relationships and stimulating collaboration.

» **Digital resonance:** The network of virtual hubs that support innovation acts as a dynamic mesh across the landscape, complementing the physical places in attracting and connecting participants who wish to collaborate and innovate. These digital places include the web presence of entrepreneurial, maker, and other communities (such as that of research institutes); scholars’ networks; institutional and open source repositories; collaborative software sites (e.g., Slack); informal blog sites; and the social media dialogue of students, faculty, researchers, and their colleagues in other locations. Events that blend face-to-face with virtual dialogue, such as webcast symposiums and webinars, can generate ripples of digital connections and rapidly disseminate knowledge within the innovation ecosystem.

**THE DYNAMIC INNOVATION LANDSCAPE**

A vibrant Innovation Landscape will be immersive, inviting serendipitous experiences with an entrepreneurial spirit as part of daily campus life. It will offer settings that host visible manifestations of creative work and collaborative energy and provide places that are accessible, welcoming, and responsive to a culture of innovation. Diverse participants across an institution should feel drawn to bring their imagination and energy to these places and learn from their experiences in them, whether expressed through physical artifacts, communal experiences, or virtual discourse.
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